

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/045,364	11/07/2001	Donald T. Green	PII 3341	1701
7590 02/06/2004			EXAMINER	
Thomas M. Lundin, Esq.			MCCALL, ERIC SCOTT	
MARCONI MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC. 595 Miner Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Cleveland, OI	·· 		2855	
			DATE MAILED: 02/06/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/045,364	GREEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Eric S. McCall	2855				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 O	ctober 2003.					
·	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	,—					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 18 and 19 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5,7-11,13-15 and 17 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) 4,6,12 and 16 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	·				
Application Papers		1				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 June 2002</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
AMarkers att N		·				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-932) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/23/03. 	Paper No(s)/Mail D					

Application/Control Number: 10/045,364

X-RAY IMAGE ENHANCEMENT

FINAL OFFICE ACTION

In response to the Applicant's amendment dated Oct. 23, 2003.

ABSTRACT

In response to the Applicant's amendment, the objection to the abstract as set forth in the previous office action has been overcome.

DRAWINGS

In response to the Applicant's amendment, the objection to the drawings as set forth in the previous office action has been overcome.

CLAIMS

35 U.S.C. § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 5, 7-11, 13-15, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Granfors et al. (5,574,764).

With regards to claim 1, Granfors et al., as disclosed by the Applicant as admitted prior art, teach a fluoroscopic imaging apparatus (col. 1, lines 12-15) comprising:

an x-ray source for projecting x-rays through a subject, the x-ray source having a voltage and a current associated therewith (col. 1, lines 26-30);

an x-ray detector for detecting radiation which has passed through the subject (col. 1, lines 61-63);

a monitor ("imaging system") for displaying an image indicative of the detected radiation, the image defining a field of view;

an operator interface for defining a region of interest within the field of view (col. 2, line 4); and

Art Unit: 2855

enhancement means for enhancing, in response to image data within the region of interest, a subsequent image of the region of interest (col. 2, lines 5-15).

With regards to claim 2, the prior art teaches the enhancement means comprising:
an image processor which generates a brightness histogram of pixels within the region of interest (col. 3, line 66 to col. 4, line 4);

an automatic exposure controller which, in response to the brightness histogram, adjusts at least one of the voltage and current of the x-ray source (col. 1, lines 26-29); and

an automatic brightness controller which, in response to the brightness histogram, generates a lookup table for mapping pixels generated by the fluoroscopic imaging apparatus to a display scale for displaying the pixels on the monitor (col. 4, lines 6-10).

With regards to claim 3, the prior art suggests the claimed subject matter thereof (col. 2, lines 57-67).

With regard to claims 5, 7, and 8, the prior art suggests the claimed subject matter thereof (col. 3, lines 21-38).

With regards to claim 9, the prior art teaches a fluoroscopic imaging apparatus (col. 1, lines 12-15) comprising:

an x-ray source for projecting x-rays through a subject, the x-ray source having a voltage and a current associated therewith (col. 1, lines 26-30);

an x-ray detector for detecting radiation which has passed through the subject (col. 1, lines 61-63);

display means for displaying real-time video images indicative of the detected radiation on a monitor (col. 1, lines 22-26);

a pointing device by which an operator can define a region of interest from within the video images (col. 3, lines 21-38);

an image processor for generating a histogram of image data of the region of interest (col. 3, line 66 to col. 4, line 4);

an automatic exposure controller for controlling at least one of the x-ray source voltage and the x-ray source current in response to the histogram of the region of interest (col. 1, lines 26-29); and

an automatic brightness controller for mapping the image data of the region of interest to a display scale of the monitor in response to the histogram of the region of interest (col. 4, lines 6-10).

With regards to claim 10, the prior art teaches the claimed subject matter thereof (col. 3, lines 66+).

Application/Control Number: 10/045,364

Art Unit: 2855

With regards to claim 11, the prior art suggests the claimed subject matter thereof (col. 3, lines 21-38).

With regards to claim 13, the prior art suggests the claimed subject matter thereof (col. 2, lines 57-67).

With regards to claim 14, the prior art teaches a method of fluoroscopic imaging (col. 1, lines 12-15) comprising the steps of:

projecting x-rays through a subject using an x-ray source, the x-ray source having a voltage and a current associated therewith (col. 1, lines 26-30);

detecting radiation which has passed through the subject (col. 1, lines 61-63);

displaying on a monitor an image indicative of the received radiation, the image defining a field of view (col. 1, lines 22-26);

displaying borders of a region of interest, the region of interest being within the field of view and being defined by an operator and having a brightness and contrast associated therewith (col. 3, lines 21-38);

generating a brightness histogram of the image data within the region of interest (col. 3, line 66 to col. 4, line 4);

adjusting at least one of the x-ray source voltage and the x-ray source current in response to the brightness histogram of the region of interest whereby the display of the region of interest is thereafter enhanced; (col. 1, lines 26-29); and

Application/Control Number: 10/045,364

Art Unit: 2855

adjusting at least one of the brightness and contrast of the region of interest in response to

the histogram of the region of interest whereby the display of the region of interest is thereafter

enhanced (col. 4, lines 6-10).

With regards to claim 15, the prior art teaches the claimed subject matter thereof (col. 3,

lines 66+).

With regards to claim 17, the prior art teaches the claimed subject matter thereof (col. 3,

lines 21-38).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4, 6, 12, and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

Added claims 18 and 19 have been found to be allowable over the prior art because the

prior art fails to teach or suggest a pointing device being overlaid on the image in combination

with the remaining limitations of each respective claim.

Response to Arguments

The Applicant's arguments have been considered but have not been found to be persuasive. Specifically, the Applicant has argued that the above prior art fails to teach or suggest an operator interface for defining a region of interest within the field of view as set forth in independent claims 1 and 9. However, the Examiner has deemed the prior art as suggesting such because (1) the prior art does define a region of interest within the field of view (col. 2, line 4) and (2) the region of interest is defined via an operator interface as claimed because without operator interaction such a region would never be set forth (ie. defined). In other words, regardless if the prior art stores such a region of interest in a mask memory as argued by the Applicant, operator interface does play a part in defining a region, and thus the prior art suggests that which is claimed by the Applicant.

CONCLUSION

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Application/Control Number: 10/045,364 Page 9

Art Unit: 2855

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Eric S. McCall at

telephone number (571) 272-2183.

Eric S. McCall Primary Examiner Art Unit 2855 Feb. 02, 2004